

By Facsimile

REMARKS

This communication is considered fully responsive to the Office Action mailed May 5, 2006. Claims 1-56 and 65-105 were examined with claims 1-20, 27, 29-36, 39-41, 44-47, 50-56, 66-89 and 92-105 standing rejected, claims 28, 37, 38, 42, 43, 48, 49, 90 and 91 objected to, and claims 21-26 and 65 allowed. Claims 1-20, 28, 42, 50-64, 66-75, 88-90, 101, 102, and 105 have been canceled without prejudice. Applicant expressly reserves the right to pursue these claims in a continuing application. Claims 22, 27, 37, 39, 43, 76, 81, 82, 86, 91, 92, 96, 104, and 104 have been amended. No new matter has been added. Claims 21-27, 29-41, 43-49, 65, 76-87, 91-100, 103, and 104 remain in the application. Reexamination and reconsideration of these claims are respectfully requested.

Claims 22 and 104 have been amended to replace Isopars™ with its generic equivalent of isoparaffinic fluids. No new matter has been added.

Claims 20-26 and 65 have been allowed.

The Examiner indicated that claim 28 was allowable but was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. Independent claim 27 has been amended to incorporate the limitation of claim 28 and claim 28 has been canceled. Claim 37 has also been amended to depend from amended claim 27 instead of canceled claim 28. Thus, Applicant believes that independent claim 27, and dependent claims 29-38 that depend from claim 27, are now in a condition for allowance.

The Examiner also indicated that claim 42 was allowable but was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. Independent claim 39 has been amended to incorporate the limitation of claim 42 and claim 42 has been canceled. Claim 43 has also been amended to depend from amended claim 39 instead of canceled claim 42. Thus, Applicant believes that independent claim 39, and dependent claims 40, 41, and 43-49 that depend from claim 39, are now in a condition for allowance.

By Facsimile

Claim 76 has been amended to include the limitation of claim 21, which was allowed. Applicant thus believes that independent claim 76, and dependent claims 77-80 that depend from claim 76, are now in a condition for allowance.

Claim 81 has also been amended to include the limitation of claim 21, which was allowed. Applicant thus believes that independent claim 81 is now in a condition for allowance.

Claim 82 has been amended to include the limitation of claim 28, which was indicated as allowable. Applicant thus believes that independent claim 82, and dependent claims 83-85 that depend from claim 82, are now in a condition for allowance.

The Examiner also indicated that claim 90 was allowable but was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. Independent claim 86 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of claim 90 and intervening claims 88 and 89. Claims 88-90 have been canceled. Claims 91 and 92 have also been amended to depend from amended claim 86 instead of canceled claims 90 and 88, respectively. Thus, Applicant believes that independent claim 86, and dependent claims 87, and 90-95 that depend from claim 86, are now in a condition for allowance.

Claim 96 has also been amended to include the limitation of claim 21, which was allowed. Applicant thus believes that independent claim 96, and dependent claims 97-100 that depend from claim 96, are now in a condition for allowance.

Claim 103 has also been amended to include the limitation of claim 21, which was allowed. Applicant thus believes that independent claim 96, and dependent claim 104 that depends from claim 103, are now in a condition for allowance.

Applicants note moreover that they do not necessarily agree nor disagree with the statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter, but, instead reserve

By Facsimile

the right to provide contrary arguments thereto as may ever be necessary throughout the life of any patent issuing hereon.

Conclusion

Based on the amendments and remarks herein, the Applicants respectfully request prompt issuance of a notice of allowance for claims 21-27, 29-41, 43-49, 65, 76-87, 91-100, 103, and 104 in this matter.

Dated: August 3, 2006

Respectfully Submitted,

By:



Thomas J. Osborne, Jr.

Reg. No. 39,796

(720) 377-0759